		Guide	e for Review of Fl	oodplains an	d Wetla	ands		
Name of	Prog	ram Partic		•				
Staff Con	ısulte	ed:						
Name of	Gran	t Program	(s):					
Name of	Activ	rity/Project	Reviewed:	Location:				
Name(s) (Reviewer					Date:			
Instruction with requirements or concern. Questions 1.	the required result is constant on the constant of the constan	quirement) do standing the pased, could rein a "concern This Exhibitents governity selected that wetland	o not address require participant's progran esult in deficient per "being raised, but n it is designed to ev ng floodplains and to be monitored w ds requirements in	ements, but are n more fully ar formance. Negnot a "finding. valuate the Red wetlands. Owhere floodplanthis Exhibit a	sincluded ind/or to ing gative co sponsible one Exhinains or versions or versions	at do not contain the do assist the review dentify issues that, it not usions to these quality and the Entity's (RE's) obit is to be completed by the construction of	ver in f not propuestions complianted for eview	perly may nce
locate "critic or be i	ed in a cal act meast	n wetland an tions") or w	whether the proposed of the 100-year whether the action betted by, a floodplain	floodplain (50 nad the potent	00-year tial to m	floodplain for	Yes	No
Descr	ibe B	Basis for Co	nclusion:					

21-1 09/2005

	s the Environmental Review Record (ERR) indicate whether required		
alte	rnatives were evaluated, including:	Yes	No
	• alternative sites outside the floodplain or wetlands?		
	• alternative actions which serve the same purpose, but which have less		
	adverse impact?		
[24	• alternative of no action? CFR 55.27]		
	cribe Basis for Conclusion:		
Des	cribe busis for conclusion.		
Did	the analysis of each alternative consider the necessary factors, where		
	vant, including:	Yes	No
	• natural environment (topography, habitat, hazards, etc.);	162	NO
	 social concerns (aesthetics, historic and cultural values, land use 		
	 social concerns (aesthetics, historic and cultural values, land use patterns, etc.); 		
	 social concerns (aesthetics, historic and cultural values, land use 		
	 social concerns (aesthetics, historic and cultural values, land use patterns, etc.); economic aspects (costs or space, construction, services, and relocation); and 		
Des	 social concerns (aesthetics, historic and cultural values, land use patterns, etc.); economic aspects (costs or space, construction, services, and relocation); 		
Des	 social concerns (aesthetics, historic and cultural values, land use patterns, etc.); economic aspects (costs or space, construction, services, and relocation); and legal constraints (deeds, leases, etc.)? 		
Des	 social concerns (aesthetics, historic and cultural values, land use patterns, etc.); economic aspects (costs or space, construction, services, and relocation); and legal constraints (deeds, leases, etc.)? 		
Des	 social concerns (aesthetics, historic and cultural values, land use patterns, etc.); economic aspects (costs or space, construction, services, and relocation); and legal constraints (deeds, leases, etc.)? 		
Des	 social concerns (aesthetics, historic and cultural values, land use patterns, etc.); economic aspects (costs or space, construction, services, and relocation); and legal constraints (deeds, leases, etc.)? 		
Des	 social concerns (aesthetics, historic and cultural values, land use patterns, etc.); economic aspects (costs or space, construction, services, and relocation); and legal constraints (deeds, leases, etc.)? 		
Des	 social concerns (aesthetics, historic and cultural values, land use patterns, etc.); economic aspects (costs or space, construction, services, and relocation); and legal constraints (deeds, leases, etc.)? 		

09/2005 21-2

	 wetlands projects, did the analysis consider the necessary factors levant to the project's effect on: the survival and quality of the wetlands; public health, safety, and welfare; maintenance of natural systems; and other uses of wetlands? 	Yes	No	N/A
De	escribe Basis for Conclusion:			
(01	d the analysis demonstrate that the location of the project on the floodplain r, in the case of new construction, wetland) site was the only practical ternative?		Yes	No
	xecutive Order 11988, Sec.2(a)(2) and Executive Order 11990, Sec.2(a)]			
Di	d the RE design or modify the selected project so as to:			
a.	minimize harm to, or within, the floodplain; [24 CFR 55.20(e)]		Yes	No
b.	minimize the destruction, loss or degradation of wetlands;		П	П
	[Executive Order 11990, Secs.1(a) and 2(a)]		Yes	No
c.	restore and preserve natural and beneficial floodplain values;			
	[24 CFR 55.20(e)]		Yes	No
d.	preserve and enhance natural and beneficial wetland values;			
	[Executive Order 11990, Sec.1(a)]		Yes	□ No

21-3 09/2005

e.	comply with the standards of the National Flood Insurance Program?			
			Yes	No
De	scribe Basis for Conclusion:			
If a	applicable to the project, has the RE complied with the Coastal Barrier	$\overline{\Box}$		
	sources Act?	Ш	Ш	Ш
[24	4 CFR 58.6]	Yes	No	N/A
De	scribe Basis for Conclusion:			

09/2005 21-4